


8.31.2018



BAGAROZY, et al.

v.

HARRIS, et al.

No. ~~04-3066~~

01-cv-00789

NOTICE OF APPEAL

JOSEPH ARUAINO

S.T.U.

PO BOX 905

AVENEL, NJ 07001

*

PLAINTIFF, APPELLANT, JOSEPH ARUAINNO,
SUBMITS THIS IN LIEU OF A MORE FORMAL PETITION
IN LIGHT OF THE OBSTRUCTIVE MEASURES OF THE
DEFENDANTS WHICH IS A KEY ISSUE IN THIS CASE,
AND THE FACT THAT PLAINTIFF(S) ARE FORCED TO
SUBMIT THIS PRO SE EVEN THOUGH THE LOWER COURT
HAS APPOINTED COUNSEL. AN ISSUE THE LOWER COURT
AVOIDED AND A KEY ISSUE ON APPEAL.

AND ANOTHER ISSUE THE LOWER COURT AVOIDED
IS THE FACT THAT THIS PETITION WAS SUBMITTED
TO THE LOWER COURT AT THE REQUEST OF RICHARD
BAGAROZY, V. HARRIS, #04.3066, BUT THE LOWER
COURT HEARD AS ALVES, #01.789, WHICH IS
INCORRECT, AND HAS LEAD TO CONFUSION HERE
ON APPEAL. AND WHERE THE OPINION ON APPEAL
HERE IS DATED 8.1.2018.

AND WHERE, SINCE THE LOWER COURT REFUSES TO CLARIFY THE DOCKET ISSUE OR HEAR THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION PLAINTIFFS HAVE SUBMITTED WE NOW SEEK APPELLATE REVIEW.


IN ADVANCE WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND EFFORTS HERE.

SINCERELY,
J. Chino

C: OFFICE OF THE NJ ATTORNEY GENERAL
PLAINTIFF(S) FILE(S)

8.31.2018

RE: BAGAROZY v. HARRIS
04.3066




"AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE"

Dear Sirs:

I, Joseph Aruanno, hereby give notice that I have served a copy of the attached petition to the defendant's attorney, The Office of the NJ Attorney General, 25 Market Street, Trenton NJ 08625.


Service was conducted by the U.S. Postal Service, First-Class mail, through the S.T.U. mailing system.

Also I fully understand that if any of the statements I have made are false I am subject to punishment under law.

Sincerely,


Mr. Joseph Aruanno
S.T.U.
CN 905
Avenel, NJ 07001

J. ARUANO
PO BOX 905
AVENEL, NJ
07001



U.S. MAIL
XEROX

CLERK'S OFFICE, CIVIL DIVISION
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
601 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106